



Evaluation grid
Young researchers - 2019

NAME OF THE REVIEWER:

TITLE OF THE PROJECT:

1) Potential of the project	/20
Quality and originality of the project (potential for progress with respect to the state of the art)	/10
Qualifications / potential of the scientific coordinator	/10

2) Feasibility and implementation of the project	/15
Quality and appropriateness of the methodology,	/5
Appropriateness of the means with respect to the objectives (feasibility of the project)	/5
Added-value of the post-doctoral recruitment for the project and / or the team	/5

3) Impacts of the project	/10
Ambition for the dissemination and exploitation of the outcomes (scientific, technological, societal, economical and/or cultural impacts)	/5
Capacity to strengthen international partnerships and to access European calls (H2020, ERC...)	/5
TOTAL	/45

Choose a letter to assess the global quality and ambition of the project **compared to projects generally submitted in the same research area:**

A+ => Outstanding: Upper 5th percentile of projects in your discipline

A => Excellent: Between the 5th and 20th percentiles of projects in your discipline

B => Very good: Between the 20th and 40th percentiles of projects in your discipline

C => Good: Below the 40th percentile of projects in your discipline

Projects will be ranked and selected based on this letter assessing the excellence within the discipline.



Evaluation grid
Young researchers - 2019

- **General Comments**
- **Strong points**
- **Possible improvement**

(to be transmitted to applicant – max 15 lines)

--